

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 7:00:14 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 7:06:46 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:31
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Your contact details

Name [REDACTED]
Address [REDACTED]
Address 2 [REDACTED]
City/Town [REDACTED]
Postcode [REDACTED]
Email Address [REDACTED]

Q2

Yes, I would like to be notified

If you would like to be notified of the Council's decision on whether to accept the Independent Examiner's recommendation and future progress with the plan, then please tick here.

Page 2

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Which part of the Plan does this comment relate to?
(please only select ONE)

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Please state the page and paragraph number (if applicable)

Q5

Respondent skipped this question

Do you support, support with changes, object, or wish to comment on this part of the Plan?

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

Please give details of your reasons for support/objection,
or make other comments here:

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, November 29, 2020 4:15:52 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, November 29, 2020 4:34:32 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:40
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Your contact details

Name [REDACTED]
Address [REDACTED]
Address 2 [REDACTED]
City/Town [REDACTED]
Postcode [REDACTED]
Email Address [REDACTED]

Q2 Yes, I would like to be notified

If you would like to be notified of the Council’s decision on whether to accept the Independent Examiner’s recommendation and future progress with the plan, then please tick here.

Page 2

Q3 Policy Area 1 - Housing

Which part of the Plan does this comment relate to?
(please only select ONE)

Q4 Respondent skipped this question

Please state the page and paragraph number (if applicable)

Q5 Have comments

Do you support, support with changes, object, or wish to comment on this part of the Plan?

Q6

Please give details of your reasons for support/objection, or make other comments here:

I want to express my concern that this plan has been received nearly 3 years after it was submitted.

A very great deal of work went into it. I do wonder what the point of all the work was when, in the years since we all got together, two new housing estates have appeared in Brailsford, and the first such estate has greatly expanded.

The largely urban style design of these estates pays little regard to the principles outlined in the Plan. They are estates, not pockets of development; there is little sense of space and openness. They do involve sprawl into the countryside; and they do not create a suitable transition from the built to the natural environment. They do not contribute much to the distinctive built character of their settings.

There seems to be a bleak contrast between the perhaps idealistic language of policy and planning documents and what actually happens on the ground.

The village has surely now reached its absolute maximum size of development.

And we do please need a new pedestrian crossing of the A52 somewhere near the Luke Lane junction!

#4

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, December 15, 2020 2:13:14 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, December 15, 2020 2:23:53 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:39
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Your contact details

Name	Alison Cresswell
Organisation (where applicable)	The Dales Federation of Primary Schools
Address	Brailsford C of E Primary School
Address 2	Luke Lane
City/Town	Brailsford
Postcode	DE6 3BY
Email Address	alison.cresswell@thedalesfederation.co.uk

Q2

Yes, I would like to be notified

If you would like to be notified of the Council's decision on whether to accept the Independent Examiner's recommendation and future progress with the plan, then please tick here.

Page 2

Q3

Policy Area 5 – Community Wellbeing – Improving Amenities

Which part of the Plan does this comment relate to? (please only select ONE)

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Please state the page and paragraph number (if applicable)

Q5

Have comments

Do you support, support with changes, object, or wish to comment on this part of the Plan?

Q6

Please give details of your reasons for support/objection, or make other comments here:

We are writing on behalf of the Governing Board of The Dales Federation of Primary Schools, that includes Brailsford C of E Primary School, in response to the Brailsford Parish Neighbourhood plan.

Having read the document, we are concerned that when listing the core amenities of Brailsford village on pages 13 and 58, there is no mention of the village Primary School as constituting an important amenity of the village and a central part of the community.

We note that as a Tier 3 settlement, Brailsford is considered to have sufficient amenity to meet the needs of significant new development. We would like to state that we regard Brailsford Primary School as a key local amenity, even though it has been omitted from this classification in the Neighbourhood plan, and that although the building is relatively new, the school buildings do not have sufficient capacity to accommodate potential increases in pupil numbers that would arise from further development, in fact over the past 2 years, we have had to turn pupils away as we have not had the capacity to accommodate them – this situation is ongoing and not one that we foresee changing going forward. The school simply was not built to accommodate the number of new families likely to require a place for their children and this is something that we have been in discussion with the local authority about for several years without a firm commitment of expansion. Therefore, consideration of the School should be included in the Plan.

In respect of traffic management and encouragement of sustainable transport options, we welcome the proposal to increase the number of crossing points, particularly that on Luke Lane that would allow safer passage of families to the school, but we would also appreciate consideration of infrastructure changes that would make walking and cycling to school safer, so encouraging families to consider these options.

Consideration of Brailsford Primary School as a core amenity and ensuring it has the capacity to accommodate additional pupils is also important in terms of transportation, as pupils unable to be accepted in the school would need to travel to alternative provision outside of the village, and this would most likely be via private car use.

Alison Cresswell, Chair of Governors of The Dales Federation.

Nicola Foulds, Head Teacher of The Dales Federation

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, December 20, 2020 8:52:50 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, December 20, 2020 9:23:41 AM
Time Spent: 00:30:51
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Your contact details

Name [REDACTED]
Address [REDACTED]
Address 2 [REDACTED]
City/Town [REDACTED]
Postcode [REDACTED]
Email Address [REDACTED]

Q2 **Yes, I would like to be notified**

If you would like to be notified of the Council’s decision on whether to accept the Independent Examiner’s recommendation and future progress with the plan, then please tick here.

Page 2

Q3 **Policy Area 2 – Traffic Management and Accessibility**

Which part of the Plan does this comment relate to?
(please only select ONE)

Q4 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please state the page and paragraph number (if applicable)

Q5 **Have comments**

Do you support, support with changes, object, or wish to comment on this part of the Plan?

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, December 21, 2020 11:45:38 AM
Last Modified: Monday, December 21, 2020 12:14:43 PM
Time Spent: 00:29:04
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Your contact details

Name	David Harris-Watkins
Organisation (where applicable)	Andrew Large Surveyors Ltd
Address	The Estate Office
Address 2	Melbourne Road
City/Town	Ashby de la Zouch
Postcode	LE65 1RT
Email Address	david@andrewlargesurveyors.co.uk

Q2

Yes, I would like to be notified

If you would like to be notified of the Council's decision on whether to accept the Independent Examiner's recommendation and future progress with the plan, then please tick here.

Page 2

Q3

Policy Area 1 - Housing

Which part of the Plan does this comment relate to?
(please only select ONE)

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Please state the page and paragraph number (if applicable)

Q5

Support with changes

Do you support, support with changes, object, or wish to comment on this part of the Plan?

Q6

Please give details of your reasons for support/objection, or make other comments here:

I am the acting agent for a landowner with land within the parish. My client is looking at applying for the development of 2-3 retirement homes within Ednaston (the field to the rear of the Yew Tree Inn).

My clients aims align with policies H1, H2 and H4, and further aligns with the most popular housing demand within the parish (retirement and bungalows), however unlike some neighbourhood plans it does not go as far as allocating sites in how it is going to fulfil its housing requirement. We believe it is necessary that some housing allocations are provided within the neighbourhood plan. As stated within the plan on page 15, no new development has taken place in Ednaston since the 1980s. My client has had people from the village/hamlet come forward interested in the possibility of the development of retirement homes. Local and national planning policy for retirement homes currently only supports their development where there is access to a range of sustainable transport, this does not account for individuals who have lived in a rural village all their lives and want to remain in their village but to downsize or to move to more suitable accommodation for retirement, without having to relocate. If land is allocated this will make specialised housing such as retirement homes possible within Ednaston.

Q6

Please give details of your reasons for support/objection, or make other comments here:

I have recently moved into the village, and although I am encouraged by the plans relating to traffic management and for people to be able to safely walk and cycle around the village, I am saddened by the lack of visible progress.

It has taken the relevant authorities at least 6 months since I have lived here to cut the hedge back (between the Cotton Yard development and the rest of the village) to a sufficient level, to what I would even consider "passable". Until now, I have been forced to walk in the carriageway every day when walking my dogs, one of whom is blind. I don't know how anyone would attempt to walk a toddler, young child or push a pram, or even a wheelchair along that route, despite the plan saying that people should be encouraged to walk. I can completely understand why all the parents on the development would drive their children round to the school. Speaking to people in the village, I know of several near misses of people and vehicles being dangerously close along here. Lorries are dangerously close to people even if (in the unlikely event) the speed limit is being adhered to.

Not only is the path itself dangerously narrow and poorly managed, but the speed of traffic along there (coming in from Ashbourne) is simply an accident waiting to happen. While the other end of the village has some calming measures (faded rumble strips and the electronic signage), the Ashbourne end has a single circular 30 sign, on the wrong side of the road and just at the entrance to Cotton Yard. I don't think it will be long before there is a nasty accident here to add to the statistics.

It looks like when the site was being built, one of the circular 30 signs was taken out, along with what looks like electronic signage further along. Why have these not been reinstated? Why have the plans for slowing traffic not been put in place, 4 years after it was identified as a problem? What is the logic for having the beginning of the 30 mph section so close to the development entrance? Should it not be moved further down the hill to make sure people have slowed down?

Articulated lorries, in particular, do not slow down until the junction of Luke Lane, many of these from locally based businesses. These Businesses should be reminded of their community responsibilities, before they seriously injure someone. In addition to this, many drivers seem to think that once they pass Luke Lane on their way to Ashbourne, that the speed limit is back up to 50. Some sort of reminder needs putting in along this section to remind them they are still in a residential area!

Finally, another pedestrian crossing near the Green seems long over due: How are people supposed to safely walk to Doctors from Luke Lane or Cotton Yard areas of the Village?
