COUNCIL

Minutes of a Council Meeting held on Thursday 26 September 2019 in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Matlock at 6.00 pm.

PRESENT

Councillor Richard FitzHerbert - In the Chair

Councillors Jacqueline Allison, Robert Archer, Richard Bright, Matthew Buckler, Sue Bull, Martin Burfoot, Sue Burfoot, Neil Buttle, David Chapman, Paul Cruise, Tom Donnelly, Helen Froggatt, Chris Furness, Clare Gamble, Alyson Hill, Susan Hobson, David Hughes, Stuart Lees, Tony Morley, Michele Morley, Peter O'Brien, Joyce Pawley, Garry Purdy, Mike Ratcliffe, Claire Raw, Mark Salt, Peter Slack, Andrew Statham, Alasdair Sutton, Colin Swindell, Steve Wain and Mark Wakeman.

Sandra Lamb (Head of Corporate Services), Tim Braund (Head of Regulatory Services), Mike Hase (Head of Regeneration and Policy), Karen Henriksen (Head of Resources), Mark Nash (Financial Services Manager), Jim Fearn (Communications and Marketing Manager) and Jackie Cullen (Committee Assistant).

34 members of the public.

The meeting was recorded and broadcast live on YouTube.

APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Jason Atkin, Graham Elliott, Steve Flitter, Elisa McDonagh, Lewis Rose OBE and Andrew Shirley.

146/19 – PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

In accordance with the procedure for public participation, Cllr. Irene Ratcliffe (Derbyshire County Council, Wirksworth Ward), Mr Robert Ledbury, Mrs Alison Ledbury, Mrs Sue Watts, Ella McCarthy and Karen Player (local residents) all commented on The Meadows, Summer Lane, Wirksworth as an Asset of Community Value in relation to Agenda Item 9(B).

147/19 - MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Garry Purdy, seconded by Councillor Colin Swindell and
RESOLVED (unanimously) That the Minutes of the Annual meeting of the Derbyshire Dales District Council held on 25 July 2019 be approved as a correct record.

The minutes were signed by the Chairman.

148/19 - LEADER’S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Leader of the Council advised Members that he and Cllr Susan Hobson had recently met with the Clean & Green Team at the Council Depot at Darley Dale in order to thank the staff for their continuing efforts and to identify any issues that might help to improve the service to the public of the Derbyshire Dales.

On 4th September, Cllr Purdy, Leader of the Council, together with Cllr Hobson and Paul Wilson, Chief Executive, attended a meeting with Andrew McLoy, Chairman of the National Peak Park Authority and the CEX, Sarah Fowler. It was anticipated that the final report on the National Parks by Julian Glover would lead to many changes, and it was essential to keep a close eye on developments at the Peak Park.

Cllr Purdy informed the Council that he was due to attend the Climate Change Summit organised by the PDNPA on 15th October, which he hoped would help forge strong relationships between Derbyshire Authorities and the issues they faced from climate change.

149/19 – CHAIRMAN’S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman of the District of Derbyshire Dales had opened the Matlock Bath Illuminations on Saturday 07 September 2019.

150/19 – MAIDEN SPEECHES

Cllrs Steve Wain, Peter O’Brien and Claire Raw presented their Maiden Speeches to the Council.

151/19 – COMMITTEES

It was moved by Councillor Tom Donnelly, seconded by Councillor Mark Salt and

RESOLVED (unanimously) That the non-exempt minutes of the Committees listed in the Minute Book for the period 25 July 2019 to 10 September 2019 be received.

152/19 – QUESTIONS (RULE OF PROCEDURE 15)

The Chairman agreed to bring forward question (B) due to the public attendance in respect of this particular item.

(B) Councillor Mike Ratcliffe asked the following question of Councillor Garry Purdy, Leader of the Council:

“Is the Leader of the Council aware of the strong level of dissatisfaction in the Wirksworth community over the recent decision not to confirm the nomination of The Meadows as an Asset of Community Value, a submission supported by the Wirksworth Civic Society, the Community Land Trust and the Town Council?”
This green space is policy protected by both the Derbyshire Dales Local Plan and the Wirksworth Neighbourhood Plan and has long been regarded as an integral part of the town’s recreational and leisure environment. It makes a recognizable contribution to our quality of life, situated as it is at the heart of the community, providing a visible and tangible buffer between the shopping centre and residential housing estate. It has two rights of way allowing for a constant flow of residents who clearly see it as high in the community’s index of social value and interest.”

Councillor Purdy provided the following verbal response.

I am aware of this issue but I must point out that decisions over assets of community value are correctly delegated by the Council’s Policy to officer level rather than to Council or Committee.

Having said that, I also know that the ACV regime is separate from Planning and that different tests apply. The land already enjoys some protection by virtue of its inclusion in the Local Plan and in Wirksworth Neighbourhood Plan.

It is my understanding that in considering the ACV nomination the investigating officer was not able to identify ‘an actual current use of the building or other land that is not an ancillary use that furthers the social wellbeing or social interests of the local community’, such as organised sport or social gathering, which is one of the tests within the legislation.

Councillor Ratcliffe thanked the Chairman for his response and advised that he had discussed the matter with the Head of Regulatory Services and was now better informed about the constraints the Officer had been under when making his decision. However, Cllr Ratcliffe stressed that the sense of contentment, satisfaction and happiness was not only measured in the physical term of numbers; high social value and interest was given to green spaces and common land. In light of this, Cllr Ratcliffe asked if the Leader of the Council would agree that one of the District Council's responsibilities was to promote and support the wellbeing of its residents.

Cllr Purdy agreed that the District Council was indeed concerned about the wellbeing of its constituents, but the decision had been made in the name of the Council under the terms of its Constitution.

(A) Councillor Mike Ratcliffe asked the following question of Councillor Garry Purdy, Leader of the Council:

“I would once again like to thank all party leaders and council members for their unanimous support in adopting this council’s climate emergency motion. Would the Council Leader now be prepared to give his support to the following measures to make this local authority free of single use plastic?

1. The DDDC would initially, where feasible, seek to remove single use plastic from its premises.
2. The DDDC would encourage plastic free initiatives.
3. The DDDC would look closely at a procurement strategy that seeks not to use single plastics.
4. The DDDC would issue press coverage and publicity to promote its adopted Single Use Plastic Free profile."

Councillor Purdy provided the following verbal response:
These matters are for consideration by the Climate Change Working Group, who are tasked with considering the issue as a holistic matter, rather than in regard to isolated matters. The Climate Change Working Group must therefore be allowed to conduct their work, which will be reported to Council periodically.

The Council does employ a Recycling Advisor who has already undertaken work aimed at reducing single use plastic within the Council. Members will be interested to know that the current post holder is moving on and the vacancy that this has created is currently advertised. The Climate Change Working Group might be able to liaise with the new post holder over this issue when he or she is in post.

*Cllr Ratcliffe thanked the Leader for his response and was greatly encouraged by the Council’s initiative in its strategic approach to climate change.*

(C) Councillor David Hughes asked the following question of Councillor Garry Purdy, Leader of the Council:

“At the last Planning Committee meeting, the Chair of the Planning Committee told me that the removal of an area of grass where children could play was not a “material planning consideration”. The conclusion that I drew was that the Chair of the Planning Committee does not believe that children’s play areas are an amenity. Can he reassure me that he does in fact consider that play areas are an amenity and therefore their provision or removal should be considered when evaluating planning applications?

Further, can he reassure me that he recognises the Local Development Plan Policy HC14: Open Space and Outdoor Recreation Facilities, and can he join with me to remind both Planning Officers and Members of the Planning Committee members that they should take account of Policy HC14 in coming to decisions about particular applications?

For information, HC14 states that the “District Council will seek to protect, maintain and where possible enhance existing open spaces, sport and recreational buildings and land including playing fields in order to ensure their continued contribution to the health and well-being of local communities [and will do so by] resisting any development that involves the loss of a sport, recreation, play facility or amenity green-space as identified on the Policies Map or loss of any other existing open space...” amongst other measures.”

Councillor Purdy provided the following verbal response:

I believe that this question relates to an application that was considered at the Planning Committee earlier this month. The question arose in the debate about an application to create a vehicle access into the back garden of a property. The debate spread out to consider an area of land that was not part of the application being considered, but which might be used as a play area. This should not have formed part of the consideration of the application in front of the Committee, as it was not relevant to the matter under consideration, and in that sense the Chair was quite correct that the matter was not a material consideration for that particular application.

I can assure you that the Chair of the Planning Committee is fully aware of the Local Plan policy relating to Open Space and Outdoor Recreation Facilities, as are our Planning Officers, and that this Policy will be considered and applied where it is relevant to do so.
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(D) Councillor Paul Cruise asked the following question of Councillor Garry Purdy, Leader of the Council:

“Could the leader please explain what risk assessment has been done to evaluate the risk on the DDDC Mid-term financial plan of Brexit / a no deal Brexit? Could the leader please highlight what assessment has been done on the impact of Brexit on EU funding grants and EU supported programmes (e.g. D2N2) that enable DDDC to support local business development?”

Councillor Purdy provided the following verbal response.

I have previously answered a question relating to the Council’s planning for a No Deal EU exit at an earlier Council meeting. Since then the Government has released its ‘Operation Yellowhammer’ reasonable worst case planning assumptions. Mid-term financial planning is not an issue that is raised in that document, although it is stated that some cross-border financial services might be disrupted. Additional costs of the EU Exit have not been reflected in the Council’s Medium Term Financial Plan because:

- We consider that one off costs relating to EU exit can be funded from the grant that we have received from the government or, in the (unlikely) event that this is insufficient, from the general reserve;
- At the current time we have not identified any significant ongoing increase in costs arising from EU exit.

I also understand that officers are considering whether some changes need to be made to our Treasury Management arrangements in this respect, but that no final decision has been made on this yet.

In the short to medium term, there is no impact from Brexit on EU funding grants and business support. Existing schemes have been underwritten to 2022, so there will be no immediate change. Importantly, this includes the funding that pays half the cost of the Derbyshire Dales Business Advisor via the D2N2 Growth Hub, which is confirmed up to 31 March 2022.

In the longer term, the situation is not certain, much as it would be anyway whenever a funding programme is due to end. The Government has indicated that it will replace EU funding with a UK Shared Prosperity Fund. So far no details of the Shared Prosperity Fund have been provided. From the Derbyshire Dales perspective, the key risk with the Shared Prosperity Fund is that the terms and processes by which the Fund is distributed are not geared to rural areas. To address this, the District Council is lobbying the Local Enterprise Partnership to acknowledge the needs of smaller businesses in rural areas.

Cllr Cruise asked the following supplementary questions: As the impact of Brexit would double the rate of inflation by the Bank of England, and would also mean a rise to 7% in unemployment, would it be possible to visit these issues more thoroughly through the Governance & Resources Committee? Furthermore, although recent feedback on funding was positive, would the Leader give his assurance that any future dealings with, inter alia, D2N2 would give due regard to the issue of rurality?

Cllr Purdy said he would liaise with the Head of Resources and come back to Members with his findings.
(E) Councillor Clare Gamble asked the following question of Councillor Garry Purdy, Leader of the Council:

“I understand there will be a report on the Monsal Head Toilets presented to members in November. Can the Leader reassure me that it will be presented to all members, since all members were consulted in the review, and that it will include all options to keep the toilets open including the option of the Peak District National Park or Ashford Parish Council taking them on? Consultation last time was influenced by the fact members were told a buyer was going to keep them open. Proper ward consultation did not take place as they were reviewed under the wrong ward. These toilets have been closed for over a year and a half in an area of such outstanding beauty the BBC filmed there to cover the publication of a review into National Parks last Saturday.”

Councillor Purdy provided the following verbal response.

To summarise the current situation regarding Monsal Head public conveniences, it was agreed at the Council meeting held on 30 April 2018 that the Council should enter into negotiations with an interested party and that if this could not be concluded within 6 months the asset should be placed on the open market for sale by public auction.

Negotiations have not been concluded within 6 months for a variety of reasons and in all the circumstances it has been recommended that an update report should be brought before the Corporate Leadership Team to enable an informed view to be taken about a future course of action in relation to these toilets. That report has not yet been composed or considered and in view of this I am not able to give a definitive answer on how or when Members may become involved in the decision at the current time. I will, however, ask the Chief Executive to ensure that members are informed of the report and decision at the appropriate time. I understand that an updating report will be presented to CLT next week.

Cllr Gamble asked the following supplementary question: Will Members take part in the decision-making or would they merely be informed of a decision?

Cllr Purdy confirmed that Members would be informed of any decision.

(F) Councillor Clare Gamble asked the following question of Councillor Garry Purdy, Leader of the Council:

“The publication of Operation Yellowhammer has taken the secrecy out of no deal Brexit preparations. Therefore can the Leader tell me when Brexit will go on to the Risk Register, the public document that identifies risks, and the measures needed to mitigate those risks? I want to know how the immediate risks, such as fuel shortages, will be mitigated, and whether the council buys any products that could be affected by delays at ports?”

Councillor Purdy provided the following verbal response.

Cllr Gamble is quite correct in stating that details of Operation Yellowhammer, Her Majesty’s Government’s reasonable worst case planning assumptions, have now been released.

As previously stated, the Council works with partners within the Derbyshire Local Resilience Forum on planning for major events such as Brexit. Some of the assumptions listed in Operation Yellowhammer can reasonably be seen to have the potential to impact on the District Council and as such have been included in the Council’s Strategic Risk Register. It is my understanding that officers are now working on a more operational risk register, as 31
October approaches, and that this operational risk register will consider actions to mitigate the potential impacts listed in Yellowhammer in more detail.

Following the advice of the LRF and Her Majesty’s Government we are still of the view that the contents of the Operational Risk Register should be treated as ‘sensitive’ at the current time, but I do understand that the potential for fuel shortages and supply chain disruption, as listed in Yellowhammer, are being considered.

For information, the Council has received significant funds from HMG to help mitigate any effects of Brexit, and these funds are held in reserve in order to be utilised if required.

Cllr Gamble asked the following supplementary question: As a result of my recent meeting with the Head of Regulatory Services, it would appear that the risk register, being a public document, is only available to be viewed on screen. How therefore would the Council address an FOI enquiry to enable the document to be viewed?

Cllr Purdy advised that he would look into the matter and report back.

**153/19 – PROPOSAL OF A NOTICE OF MOTION (RULE OF PROCEDURE 16)**

This item was withdrawn from the Agenda in order for Members to convene to consider a uniform approach.

**154/19 – UPDATE FROM THE CLIMATE CHANGE WORKING GROUP**

The Council considered a report on the work undertaken by the Derbyshire Dales Members’ Climate Change Working Group, including the production of a proposed road map to assist with the District Council’s pledge to become carbon neutral by 2030.

The Working Group members were Cllrs David Chapman (Chair), Richard Bright, Mark Salt, David Hughes, Peter O’Brien and Neil Buttle, with officer support to the Group being provided by the Head of Regulatory Services. The Working Group had met twice, and had produced an initial road map, setting out the issues and areas of work needed for the Council to work towards becoming carbon neutral by 2030, and had identified 4 priorities for early work, as follows:

- Transport
- Estates
- Planning policy
- Housing policy

The road map was attached as Appendix 1 to the report.

The Chairman of the Group advised Members that a dedicated email address would be live by next week via the Council’s website and that administrative services, including minute-taking for the Group, were being organised. Cllr Chapman invited Members to send their suggestions/comments using the dedicated email address in due course.

In addition to the work undertaken by the District Council’s Working Group, a countywide officer group had been formed, to look specifically at climate change issues. The first meeting of this group was held on 17 September 2019, from which a Derbyshire Environment and Climate Change Framework would be developed.
It was moved by Councillor David Chapman, seconded by Councillor Richard Bright, and

**RESOLVED** (unanimously) That the initial road map produced by the Climate Change Working Group is received.

155/19 – ANNUAL REVIEW OF PLANNING DECISIONS

The Council considered a report on the performance of the Development Management Team and the Planning Committee in respect of the number of applications considered and the consistency of decision making.

Performance statistics for all planning applications determined between 1 April 2018 and 31 March 2019 were as set out in paragraph 2.3 of the report and had been analysed and compared with the same periods in 2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17 and 2017/18. The same exercise had been repeated for those applications determined by Committee. The total numbers of planning applications received each year were tabled in paragraph 2.6 of the report, and the statistics relating to Appeals were included in the report.

Members had previously expressed an interest in the parishes within which Planning Applications had required consideration by Planning Committee; these were tabled in paragraph 2.12 of the report in respect of the top 7 Parishes.

It was moved by Councillor Richard Bright, seconded by Councillor Tom Donnelly and

**RESOLVED** (unanimously) That the report be noted.

156/19 – REVIEW OF POLLING DISTRICTS, PLACES AND STATIONS 2019

Council considered a report on the progress of the review, comments received in response to initial consultation on the Council’s current Polling Scheme and the comments of the Acting Returning Officer, which would be the subject of a further period of consultation.

The Electoral Registration and Administration Act 2013 required a compulsory review to be completed between 1 October 2018 and 31 January 2020 (inclusive). An explanation of the terms was set out in the report, together with the criteria to be met by the Council in carrying out the review.

The Council had published a notice (attached as Appendix 1 to the report) on 01 August 2019, as set out in the report, and a schedule of the representations received during the consultation period was attached at Appendix 2 to the report, together with The ARO’s responses. These would be published on the Council’s website; added to the files held at the Council’s Offices on 27 September 2019, and all consultees would be notified and would have the opportunity to make further representations in response to the comments of the ARO, the deadline being 25 October 2019. The cost per elector ratio for the polling stations scheduled for commission in the 2019 elections was set out in Appendix 3 to the report.

The District Council did not propose any changes to the current Polling Scheme, except to confirm the minor amendments made under delegated authority and already incorporated in the advertised scheme. Clarification was given by the ARO in respect of item 32 of Appendix 2, Ashbourne Hilltop School – this was part of a proposal to re-draw the boundary, as shown
on page 17 of the report, and as such the ‘no changes proposed’ in column 4 should be ignored.

Following consideration of all representations, a final report to Council on 21 November 2019 would include recommendations for any changes to the Polling Scheme that arose from the findings of the Review. Thereafter, approved changes would be incorporated into the Register of Electors to be published on 01 December 2019, and a final report on the review and copies of all representations received would be published at the conclusion of the review.

It was moved by Councillor Sue Bull, seconded by Councillor Chris Furness and

RESOLVED (unanimously)
1. That the report is noted.
2. That the Acting Returning Officer’s (ARO) comments are the subject of a further period of consultation.
3. That final proposals for the amendment of the Council’s Polling Scheme be reported to Council on 21 November 2019.

157/19 – TREASURY MANAGEMENT ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2018/19

The Council considered approval of the Treasury Management Annual Report for 2018/19, issued in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003 and the CIPFA Code. The report compared actual treasury management performance for 2018/19 to the estimates within the treasury management strategy that was approved in March 2018.

It was reported that on 31st March 2019 the Authority had net investments of £13.935m arising from its revenue and capital income and expenditure, as summarised in Table 1 in paragraph 1.4 of the report; and the treasury management position as at 31st March 2019 and the change during the year was shown in Table 2.

The Council’s borrowing strategy and investment activity were set out in the report, with Table 3 showing the year-end investment position.

All treasury management activities undertaken during 2018/19 had complied fully with the CIPFA Code of Practice and the Authority’s approved Treasury Management Strategy. Compliance with specific investment limits was illustrated in Table 4 of the report, and compliance with the authorised limit and operational boundary for external debt in table 5 of the report.

The District Council’s Treasury Management Indicators were explained in paragraph 1.8 of the report and the Prudential Indicators, which ensured that the capital investment plans of local authorities were affordable, prudent and sustainable, and that treasury management decisions were taken in accordance with good professional practice, were set out in paragraph 1.9 of the report.

It was moved by Councillor Garry Purdy, seconded by Councillor Susan Hobson and

RESOLVED (unanimously) That the Treasury Management Annual Report for 2018/19 be approved.

158/19 – KIRK IRETON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

The Council considered a report that set out draft comments in respect of the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan and its general conformity to the District Council’s strategic policies.
within the adopted Derbyshire Dales Local Plan. The report also outlined the next steps with regard to consultation and examination of the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan.

The draft Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Development Plan was subject to local consultation under Regulation 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations (2012) between 19th November 2018 and 2nd February 2019, and was submitted to the District Council for its formal publicity stage on 3rd April 2019. In accordance with Regulation 16 of the Regulations, public consultation on the draft Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan would now be undertaken for a period of six weeks, commencing on 23rd September 2019 and finishing on 4th November 2019, as set out in the report. In order to progress the preparation of the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan and in agreement with Kirk Ireton Parish Council, Mr Andrew Matheson had been appointed as the Independent Examiner.

Derbyshire Dales District Council’s response to the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Development Plan was set out in section 2 of the report and a copy of the Draft Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan was available at the following link:

It was recommended that the draft comments tabled in the report be endorsed by Members as the District Council’s formal response to the Plan and submitted during the statutory consultation period.

The next steps were set out in Section 3 of the report, and the examination of the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan was anticipated to commence in November 2019, following which a further report would be presented to the Community and Environment Committee setting out the Examiner’s Report findings, any recommended modifications to the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Development Plan, and, potentially, final steps towards referendum and subsequent planned adoption.

It was moved by Councillor Richard Bright, seconded by Councillor Chris Furness and

**RESOLVED**

(unanimously)

1. That the draft comments made in respect of the policies and proposals contained within the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan set out in Section 2 of the report are noted and that they are forwarded to the examiner appointed to undertake the Examination of the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan.

2. That in the event that no substantive objections are received during the statutory publicity period undertaken in accordance with Regulation 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 that they be forwarded to the examiner appointed to undertake the Examination of the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan.

3. That in the event that any substantive representations be received during the statutory publicity period that a further report be presented to Committee for consideration.

4. The Council discharge responsibility relating to Neighbourhood Plans to the Community and Environment Committee and that a further report be presented to the Community and Environment Committee that sets out the Examiner’s Report findings and any recommended modifications to the Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan.
The Council considered two recommendations made by the Governance & Resources Committee on 05 September 2019.

The relevant minutes of the Governance & Resources Committee was reproduced in full in the report to assist Members’ understanding of the issues involved, with the recommendations to be approved marked by an arrow (➔).

126/19 – NEW ELECTRONIC RECRUITMENT PARTNERSHIP

It was moved by Councillor Garry Purdy, seconded by Councillor Mark Wakeman and

**RESOLVED**

➔ That Council is requested to approve a supplementary revenue budget of £12,588 for 2019/20, to be financed from the ICT Reserve, and £3,500 per annum ongoing revenue spending from 2020/21 in respect of the new electronic partnership.

**Voting:**

- For: 14
- Against: 1
- Abstentions: 0

The Chairman declared the motion CARRIED.

133/19 – REVIEW OF ICT STRUCTURE

It was moved by Councillor Garry Purdy, seconded by Councillor Mike Ratcliffe and

**RESOLVED (unanimously)**

1. That, subject to the restructure being agreed by Bolsover and North East Derbyshire District Councils, the restructure of the Joint ICT Service is approved.

➔

2. That, subject to 1 above, Council be requested to approve additional one-off revenue spending of £12,780 for ICT Service restructuring costs in 2019/20 and £4,044 per annum ongoing revenue spending in respect of the additional capacity in the proposed ICT structure.

It was moved by Councillor Garry Purdy, seconded by Councillor Chris Furness and

**RESOLVED (unanimously)**

1. That a recommendation from the Governance and Resources Committee on 05 September 2019, that a supplementary revenue budget of £12,588 for 2019/20, to be financed from the ICT Reserve and £3,500 per annum ongoing revenue spending from 2020/21 in respect of the new electronic partnership, be approved.

2. That a recommendation from the Governance and Resources Committee on 05 September 2019, subject to the restructure being agreed by Bolsover and North East Derbyshire District Councils, the restructure of the Joint ICT Service is approved and subject to the above, an additional one-off revenue spending of £12,780 for ICT Service restructuring costs in 2019/20 and
£4,044 per annum ongoing revenue spending in respect of the additional capacity in the proposed ICT structure, be approved.

160/19 – EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

It was moved by Councillor Tom Donnelly, seconded by Councillor Richard Bright and

RESOLVED That members of the public be excluded from the meeting for the remaining item of business to avoid disclosure of information relating to individuals.

Voting:

For 32
Against 0
Abstentions 1

The Chairman declared the motion carried.

161/19 – EXEMPT MINUTES TO BE RECEIVED

It was moved by Councillor Tom Donnelly, seconded by Councillor Mark Salt and

RESOLVED That the exempt minutes of the Licensing & Appeals Sub-Committee held on 22 July, 31 July and 13 August 2019 be received.

Voting:

For 32
Against 0
Abstentions 1

The Chairman declared the motion carried.

162/19 – SEALING OF DOCUMENTS

It was moved by Councillor David Chapman, seconded by Councillor Mark Wakeman and

RESOLVED (unanimously) That the common seal of the Council be affixed to those documents, if any, required to complete transactions undertaken by Committees or by way of delegated authority to officers since the last meeting of the Council.

MEETING CLOSED 8.03PM

CHAIRMAN